App F. IX-4

Previous References

1937-3 (Mungpu)

Genl 219-36-2

File No. 90-3

Serial Nos. 1-2

Letter References

350-39-12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 - 2

Part B for November 1937

SUBJECT.

Sel. Rain fly tennis tennis No. 136 P
Rest. Villa Mungpu-Falak

9661-4 No. 26 dt. Darjeeling 22nd November 1937
The Main Office
Comptroller
Comm. for Jt. Hill
Tea Cess Kalimpong
Approval of the proposal of the Mungpu Committee

PAK 17/11/37

WD 0285—GPB—22,500—18-8-37

G. R. S. APP. F. III—1] 1937-5

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING

FROM

No.	Dated	Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare copies	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

M

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The same recommendation was made by the Munsif's commissioner on the last occasion in February 1937, on the ground that the party concerned was in adverse possession of the lands under the second proviso of section 10 of the Regulation 1927. It was pointed out to him that this proviso was not applicable to the case and that he might dispose of the appeal which was pending before him at the time

NOTES—First Sheet

WD 0245—GPB—2,500—23-8-32

2 Resumption and Devadaya inam land. 7

Mug File No 90 of 1937. General and Revenue Secretariat

according to law. The Mysore Commissioner has now stated that he has disposed of this appeal, but he has not sent a copy of the order passed by him in that case, and if appealed, a copy of the order will be called for

The present holder got possession of the land recommended to be confirmed to him, in civil court action in 1921 for a sum of Rs 152 which amount was paid to the decree-holder and not to the mortgagor. The land in question being a Devadaya has to be resumed to Govt under the Rules, and it is for consideration whether it may not be disposed of in public auction to the highest bidder who may offer a reasonable price of not less than Rs 132/- instead of confirming it to the present holder Venkatesha as recommended by the M.C. The land is proposed to be confirmed to him on payment of Rs 36/- being the full assessment at 2 4 0 (1-12-0 + 0-8-0) for years for the last 16 years.

Submitted for orders. Attention is invited to paras 8 to 12 of the notes on file No. Mug. 219-36, serials 1-2 on the facts of this case. In view of the facts stated therein it is a point for orders whether the land should not be

X Please see the reverse of flag X on this point.

Resumption and disposal of a Devadaya inam land.

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 90 of 1937. [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

disposed of by auction to the highest bidder.

18.10.37. The R.C recommendation may be approved in the circumstances explained

Gen'l. Secy

OFFICE OF THE PRINTER TO GOVERNMENT
28 OCT 1937
No 2351

Fin Secy

TYPING SECTION
30 OCT 1937
GENL & REV. SECTT.

29.10.37.

Issued with a short Ltr to appellant

WD 0284—GPB—30,000—13 8 37

File No. of 193 .

General
Sec

G 13.]
No. Hpp. 29/35-36
Enc. 1 file.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 17th September *1937*

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAIYAN, Esq., B.A.,
~~N. MADHAVA RAO, Esq., B.A., B.L.,~~
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

GENL & REV 323 22 SEP. 1937 MUZRAI

To

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A., Mys 20-37 1.
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

GENERAL SECRETARY 21 SEP. 1937

SIR,

Cancellation of the inam tenure of Survey No.134 of Gujjepalli in Bagepalli Taluk.

With reference to your letter No.1357/Muz.219-36-2 dated 5-4-'37 re. the above, I have the honor to state that I have disposed of the Appeal. The appellant, Arbatti Venkatappa, purchased the land in Civil Court Auction, not knowing that this was a Devadaya Service inam land and khate too was made out in his name by the Amildar. The service of sacrifice at the beginning of the cultivation season, for which this land has been granted as inam, has not been done for several years past. The appellant got possession in 1921 and has agreed to pay the jari amount from the date of his possession. The Sub Division Officer states that the descendants of the original grantee are not residing in the village, and service is not being performed and recommends that the service may be treated as defunct and the inam land confirmed to the present holder, Arbatti Venkatappa, on full payment of the full assessment from the year in which he got possession of the land.

2. I think that it is equitable to accept the Sub Division Officer's suggestion, and recommend that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to the above proposal, the inam tenure being cancelled.

The connected records are herein enclosed for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. N. Bhandarkar

For Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from headquarters)

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary. No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Section MU3

File No. ~~66~~ 90-37

Serial No. 2

Date of issue 6-11- ...193 7

Signed by M. S. K. Venkataramana Ayya

May this be—

(i) printed?

(ii) treated as a standing order?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part?

(iv) translated?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part?

Read— Correspondence ending with letter No APP 29/35-36 dated the 17.9.1937 from the M.C. Nelamangala in the matter of cancellation of the inam tenure of S. No 134 of Gujjekalli in Bagepalli Taluk and its disposal in favour of Sh. Abdu Subhan Venkatappa, on certain conditions.

Order thereon.—No. Error in 661-4.

It is reported that the land bearing S. No 134 of Gujjekalli village in Bagepalli Taluk is a Devadaya Inam granted for perpetual sacrifice at the beginning

1.11.37.

3.11.37.

dry cultivation. This service was
not being done and the land was
in ~~the lawful~~ possession and
enjoyment of one Kukkala Venkatarama
till 4.7.1921 when Mr. Durbathi Venkatayya
obtained a decree for Rs212 against him
and purchased the land in a civil
Court Sale without knowing that it was a Devadaya Service Inam land
and the Kharta of the
land was also duly transferred
to the name of the purchaser in 1923.
It is further reported that the descendants
of the original grantee are not residing
in the village and the ~~service~~
Service for which the inam was
granted is not being performed.
The purchaser Mr Venkatayya is
also stated to be agreeable to
have the service from the
land on which the land earns to
Kharta of his possession. The
Mirasi Commissioner has
in the above ~~stated~~ circumstances
~~in this case~~ recommended

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

that the Service book be issued
Vkt
as defaced, the miscellaneous
the Service Card may be cancelled.
and the Main Card may be
confirmed to the present holder
the Venkatappa on payment of
full assessment from the year
1921 in which he got possession of the
same.

In the circumstances referred,
Govt are pleased to accept
the proposals of the Mysore Comm

7/VI
28/1.1937

Govt Secy to Govt
28/10

To [illegible]

The D.C in Mysore will
secure
.. Comptroller
Comm for Settlement
- Secy to Govt

.. D.C Kolar D.

LWD 0436—GPB—10,000—17-10-33

8/

MYSORE DIRECTORATE OF [illegible]

THE BANK AT MYSORE.

----:0:----

Read :-

Correspondence ending with letter No. Apps. 25/36-38 dated the 17th September 1937 from the Bureau of Revenue in Mysore in the matter of cancellation of the Inam Boundary of Survey No. 164 of Ujjenahalli in Nagenahalli Taluk and issue of Sanad in favour of Mr. Ambatti Venkatappa on certain conditions.

Order No. 661-4/Mug 90-37-2 Bangalore Dated, Bangalore, the November 1937.

It is reported that the Inam Boundary Survey No. 164 of Nagenahalli village in Nagenahalli Taluk is a Devadaya Inam granted for performance of service of the beating of dry cultivation. That service was not being done and the land was in possession and enjoyment of one Muthulakshmamma till the July 1871 when Mr. Ambatti Venkatappa obtained a decree for Rs. 1,12,000 against the said Muthulakshmamma and the land in a Civil Court sale stating that it was a Devadaya service Inam land and the sale of the land was also duly transferred to the name of the said Venkatappa. It is reported that the descendants of the original grantee are not residing in the village and the service for which the Inam was granted is not being performed. The petitioner Mr. Venkatappa is also stated to be a peasant to pay the land amount under the Act, on which the land came to his possession. The Commissioner has in the above circumstances recommended that the Inam may be treated as Saranjam, that the Inam Tenure of the property may be cancelled and the Inam land of the Sanad be granted to the petitioner Mr. Venkatappa on payment of Sanad Nuzzerana of 1841 in which he got possession of the land. In the report, Government are pleased to approve of the in